

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/763,745	02/26/2001	Терро Којо	989.1026	9912
21831 759	90 07/07/2003			
STEINBERG & RASKIN, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1140 AVENUE NEW YORK, N	OF THE AMERICAS, 1: Y 10036-5803	5th FLOOR	RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
		DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	09/763,745	KOJO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William A Rivera	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	ex parte Quayre, 1000 0.5. 11, 1	0.0.210.				
4) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-13,15 and 16 is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11-13,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		!				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action for a list of the list of the prior action for a list of the pr	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(6	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language profile 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	· ·					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 4, last line, the word "machin" should be replaced with the word --machine--. Page 5, line 26, the word "tonnes" should be replaced with the word --tons--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,055,313) in view of Gay et al (U.S. Patent No. 2,092,966).

With respect to Claims 1, 3-9, 11-13, 15 and 16, Yamaguchi et al, Figures 1 and 2, teaches providing a full-width paper web 11 issuing from a paper machine having a production width, providing a plurality of first reel spools (see Fig 2) and reeling said full-width paper web in a first reel-up 1, (Note that wound reels 12 are placed on carts and taken away for further processing); returning the empty first reel spool to said first reel up. Gay et al, Figures 1-6, teach unwinding a paper web 2; passing the web through a finishing machine 3 and reeled up in a second reel-up 7 wherein the first reel arranged between the paper machine and the unwinding station has a different dimension than the second reel spool. It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 3654

of ordinary skill in the art to send the first reeled up web to an unwinding station, as taught by Gay et al, for the purpose of further treating the web. Further it should be noted that it is well known in the art to move rolls to different areas for further handling. Therefore It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the rolls such as those of Yamaguchi et al could be moved to be finished by the method shown by Gay et al.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The new grounds of rejection were necessitated by applicant's amendment, e.g., the requirement for "providing a plurality of first reel spools", "passing said reel to an unwinding station", "unwinding the full-width paper web from the reel in said unwinding station and returning the empty first reel spool to said first reel-up".

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/763,745

Art Unit: 3654

Page 4

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A. Rivera whose telephone number is (703) 308-2684. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 2:00 PM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688.

Telephone status inquiries regarding this application should be directed to (703) 308-1113. **Facsimile correspondence** for this application should be sent to the following respective numbers:

For **BEFORE FINAL** correspondence: (703) 872-9326 For **AFTER FINAL** correspondence: (703) 872-9327

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

William R. Ruem

June 30, 2003